


REMARKS

The claims were again rejected as being anticipated by Orrico. With respect to the previous amendment that required the lever to be rotatable in a direction perpendicular to the longitudinal axis, the Examiner considered the language to be indeterminate and proposed additional language. That proposed language has been incorporated into each of the independent claims. Support for this amendment can be found in the figures and in at least paragraph [0028]. Orrico does not teach or suggest such a feature. In fact, the device of Orrico would not operate as intended if its lever were rotatable in a direction perpendicular to the longitudinal axis. Therefore, the rejection should be withdrawn.

It is believed that all the claims are allowable and Applicants request notification to that effect. If, for any reason, the Examiner feels that the above amendments and remarks do not put the claims in condition for allowance, the undersigned attorney can be reached at (312) 321-4276 to resolve any remaining issues.

Respectfully submitted,



G. Peter Nichols
Registration No. 34,401
Attorney for Applicants

BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE
P.O. BOX 10395
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60610
(312) 321-4200